United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

February 12, 2024 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

STEALTH ONSITE SOLUTION, LLC.,	§
Plaintiff,	§ §
V.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-CV-00175
	§
M/V Double E, et al.,	§
	§
Defendants.	§

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Mitchel Neurock's Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R"). (D.E. 38). The M&R recommends that the Court deny Defendant Erickson & Jensen Seafood Packing Company's ("E&J") motion to dismiss for improper venue, or alternatively to transfer, (D.E. 30). (D.E. 38, p. 22).

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge's M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); Badaiki v. Schlumberger Holdings Corp., 512 F. Supp. 3d 741, 743–44 (S.D. Tex. 2021) (Eskridge, J.).

Having reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R in its entirety. (D.E.

38). Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** E&J's motions to dismiss or transfer. (D.E₄ 30).

SO ORDERED.

DAVIDS MORALES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: Corpus Christi, Texas February 9, 2024